



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,321	03/29/2001	Hidehiko Teshirogi	450100-03092	2271
20999	7590 11/04/2005		EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			NGUYEN, HUY THANH	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2616	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/821,321	TESHIROGI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	HUY T. NGUYEN	2616
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	· -	•
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. eepted or b) □ objected to by the	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 6 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: See Examiner comment below Appropriate correction is required.

In claims 6 and 10, line 2, after "program" should be inserted --executed by a computer--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oguro (6,026,212) in view of Yamada et al (6,115,537).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 1 Oguro discloses a magnetic-tape recording apparatus (column 1, lines 15-40, Fig. 9 column 8, line 50-clumn 9, lines 45) for recording digital data on a magnetic tape(20) by a rotating head)11), comprising:

first obtaining means (5,67) for obtaining predetermined-unit video data sync block (column 1, line 15-40);

second obtaining means (9) for obtaining audio data corresponding to the predetermined-unit video data (Fig. 1);

synthesizing means (8) for synthesizing the predetermined-unit video data and the audio data corresponding to the predetermined-unit video data such that they are continuous on a track in the magnetic tape without any space disposed therebetween (Fig. 1, column 1); and

sending means for sending data synthesized by the synthesizing means to the rotating head in order to record the data on the magnetic tape (Fig. 1, column 1).

Oguro fails to specifically teach using sync block header having information to identify whether the main data is audio data or video data.

Yamada teaches an recording/ reproducing apparatus having means for providing the main data with a sync block header to identify whether the main data is audio or video data (column 29, lines 15-25Fig. 5D)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Oguro with Yamada by providing the apparatus of Oguro with a generating means as taught by Yamada for generating sync block header having information to identify the main data thereby effectively accessing the main data.

Art Unit: 2616

Method claims 5 and 6 correspond to apparatus claim 1. Therefore method claims 5 and 6 are rejected by the same reason as applied to apparatus claim 1.

Further for claim 6, Oguro teaches a program stored on a medium for performing the method of claim 6 since the generating audio and video data, arranging the audio and video on the tape is controlled by a controller of the apparatus.

Regarding claim 7, Oguro teach a reproducing apparatus for reading by a rotating head a magnetic tape into which compressed, high quality or standard. predetermined-unit, video data and audio data corresponding to the predetermined-unit video data are recorded such that they are continuous on a track without any space disposed therebetween (Fig. 1, Fig. 19) comprising:

first decompression means (26,26) for decompressing the compressed, high-quality video data among data read from the magnetic tape by the rotating head (column 13, lines 1-5, column 15, lines 59-65);

second decompression means (23) for decompressing the compressed audio data among the data read from the magnetic tape by the rotating head (column 12, lines 30-5));

detecting means (22) for detecting distinguish information for distinguishing the video data from the audio data, from the data read from the magnetic tape by the rotating head (column 12, lines 35-40); and

selection means (22) for selecting the first decompression means or the second decompression means according to the result of detection performed by the detecting

Art Unit: 2616

means to process the data read from the magnetic tape by the rotating head (column 12, lines 30 65).

Oguro fails to specifically teach using sync block header having information to identify whether the main data is audio data or video data.

Yamada teaches an recording/ reproducing apparatus having means for providing the main data with a sync block header to identify whether the main data is audio or video data (column 29, lines 15-25Fig. 5D)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Oguro with Yamada by providing the apparatus of Oguro with a generating means as taught by Yamada for generating sync block header having information to identify the main data thereby effectively accessing the main data

Method claims 9 and 10 correspond to apparatus claim 7, therefore method claim 9 and 10 are rejected by the same reason as applied to apparatus claim 7.

Further for claim 10, Oguro teaches a program stored on a medium for performing the method of claim 10 since the generating audio and video data, arranging the audio and video on the tape, decompressing the video data and audio data is controlled by a controller of the apparatus.

3. Claims 1,4-7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohkuma et al in view of Yamada et al (6,115,537).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 1, Ohkuma discloses a magnetic-tape recording apparatus (Fig. 14,15 a, column 11) for recording digital data on a magnetic tape by a rotating head, comprising:

first obtaining means (233) for obtaining predetermined-unit video data (Fig. 23); second obtaining means (204,205) for obtaining audio data corresponding to the predetermined-unit video data (Fig. 23);

synthesizing means (207) for synthesizing the predetermined-unit video data and the audio data corresponding to the predetermined-unit video data such that they are continuous on a track in the magnetic tape; and

sending means for sending data synthesized by the synthesizing means to the rotating head in order to record the data on the magnetic tape (column 11, Fig. 23).

Ohkuma fails to teach that the video and audio are provided without any space therebetween .

However, it is noted eliminating a part that will cause loosing its function is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art (See Elimination of an element and its function---*In re Karlson*, 153 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963).. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ohkuma by eliminating the gap generating means of Ohkuma thereby eliminating the space between the video data and audio data.

Ohkuma fails to specifically teach using sync block header having information to identify whether the main data is audio data or video data.

Art Unit: 2616

Yamada teaches an recording/ reproducing apparatus having means for providing the main data with a sync block header to identify whether the main data is audio or video data (column 29, lines 15-25Fig. 5D)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ohkuma with Yamada by providing the apparatus of Ohkuma with a generating means as taught by Yamada for generating sync block header having information to identify the main data thereby effectively accessing the main data

Regarding claim 4, Ohkuma further teaches third obtaining means (19, Fig. 15 b) for obtaining, as the video data, compressed standard video data, wherein the high-quality video data obtained by the first obtaining means includes distinguish information for distinguishing the high-quality video data from the standard video data (column 13, lines 35-40); and

the synthesizing means selects the high-quality video data compressed by the compression means or the compressed standard video data obtained by the third obtaining means and synthesizes (column 13, lines 50 to column 14, line 6.

Method claims 5 and 6 correspond to apparatus claim 1. Therefore method claims 5 and 6 are rejected by the same reason as applied to apparatus claim 1.

Further for claim 6,Ohkuma teaches a program stored on a medium for performing the method of claim 6 since the generating audio and video data, arranging the audio and video on the tape is controlled by a controller of the apparatus.

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 7, Ohkuma further teach apparatus for reading by a rotating head a magnetic tape into which compressed, high quality or standard. predetermined-unit, video data and audio data corresponding to the predetermined-unit video data are recorded such that they are continuous on a track (Figs. 14-15,22,23) comprising:

first decompression means (235) for decompressing the compressed, highquality video data among data read from the magnetic tape by the rotating head;

second decompression means (221) for decompressing the compressed audio data among the data read from the magnetic tape by the rotating head (column 11 lines 10-40, lines 55-65);

detecting means (220) for detecting distinguish information for distinguishing the video data from the audio data, from the data read from the magnetic tape by the rotating head (column 11, lines 45-68); and

selection means for selecting the first decompression means or the second decompression means according to the result of detection performed by the detecting means to process the data read from the magnetic tape by the rotating head (column 11 lines 10-40, lines 45-65).

Ohkuma fails to teach that the video and audio are provided without any space therebetween .

However, it is noted eliminating a part that will cause loosing its function is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art (See Elimination of an element and its function---*In re Karlson*, 153 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963).. Therefore, it would have been

Art Unit: 2616

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ohkuma by eliminating the gap generating means of Ohkuma thereby eliminating the space between the video data and audio data.

Ohkuma fails to specifically teach using sync block header having information to identify whether the main data is audio data or video data.

Yamada teaches an recording/ reproducing apparatus having means for providing the main data with a sync block header to identify whether the main data is audio or video data (column 29, lines 15-25Fig. 5D)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ohkuma with Yamada by providing the apparatus of Ohkuma with a generating means as taught by Yamada for generating sync block header having information to identify the main data thereby effectively accessing the main data

Method claims 9 and 10 correspond to apparatus claim 7, therefore method claim 9 and 10 are rejected by the same reason as applied to apparatus claim 7.

Further for claim 10, Ohkuma teaches a program stored on a medium for performing the method of claim 10 since the generating audio and video data, arranging the audio and video on the tape, decompressing the video data and audio data is controlled by a controller of the apparatus.

Art Unit: 2616

4. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohkuma et al (5,574,570) in view of Yamada et al (6,115,537) as applied to claims 1 above, further in view of Lee (5,940,016).

Regarding claim 2, Ohkuma further teach a compression means (23, Fig. 14) for compressing the high-quality video data obtained by the first obtaining means, wherein the first obtaining means obtains as the video data, high-quality video data (column 11), but fails to teach that the predetermined-unit video data is the data of pictures whose number is indicated by the value of M in a GOP structure.

Lee teaches a high quality video signal is a GOP (MPEG system, column 1 lines 5-32)) that each GOP having a M pictures. It would have bee obvious to one of ordinary skill in the at to modify Ohkuma with Lee by providing the Okuma apparatus a high quality video signal that comprises GOPs as taught by Lee as alternative source signal thereby enhancing the apparatus of Ohkuma for additionally receiving the high quality video signal of GOP.

Regarding claim 3, Ohkuma as modified with Lee further teaches a magnetic tape recording apparatus according to the compression means compresses the high-quality video data by an MP@HL or MP@H-14 method. See Lee column 6, lines 20-25).

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohkuma et al (5,574,570) in view of Yamada et al (6,115,537) as applied to claims 7 above, further in view of Lee (5,940,016).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 8, Ohkuma fails to teach that the first decompression means decompresses the high-quality video data by an MP@HL or MP@H-14 method.

Lee teaches a decompressing means using an MP@HL or MP@H-14 method. Fro decompressing a high quality video signal hat a high quality video signal (See Lee column 6, lines 20-5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ohkuma with Lee by providing the Okuma apparatus with a decompressing means for decompressing the high quality video signal as an alternative to the decompressing means of Ohkuma in the case that the high quality video signal has been compressed by MP@HL or MP@H-14 method of MPEG system. Thereby enhancing the capacity of the apparatus of Ohkuma.

6. Claims 1 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Senshu et al (6,658,195) in view of Yamada et al (6,115,537).

Regarding claim 1 Senshu discloses a magnetic-tape recording apparatus (Figs. 2 and 3), comprising:

first obtaining means for obtaining predetermined-unit video data sync block (column 5, lines 5-45);

second obtaining means for obtaining audio data corresponding to the predetermined-unit video data (column 5, lines 5-45);

synthesizing means (8) for synthesizing the predetermined-unit video data and the audio data corresponding to the predetermined-unit video data such that they are

Art Unit: 2616

continuous on a track in the magnetic tape without any space disposed therebetween (Fig. 3).; and

sending means for sending data synthesized by the synthesizing means to the rotating head in order to record the data on the magnetic tape (Figs. 2 and 3)._

Senshu fails to specifically teach using sync block header having information to identify whether the main data is audio data or video data.

Yamada teaches an recording/ reproducing apparatus having means for providing the main data with a sync block header to identify whether the main data is audio or video data (column 29, lines 15-25Fig. 5D)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Senshu with Yamada by providing the apparatus of Senshu with a generating means as taught by Yamada for generating sync block header having information to identify the main data thereby effectively accessing the main data.

Method claims 5 and 6 correspond to apparatus claim 1. Therefore method claims 5 and 6 are rejected by the same reason as applied to apparatus claim 1.

Further for claim 6, Senshu teaches a program stored on a medium for performing the method of claim 6 since the generating audio and video data, arranging the audio and video on the tape is controlled by a controller of the apparatus.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2616

7. Applicant's arguments filed 11 August 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that Oguro and Ohkuma fails to teach that the main sector having a sync block header fro identifying the type of the main data. However, it is noted that using a sync block header having information to identify the data of a sync block is well known in the at as taught by Yamada (Fig. 5D, column 29, lines 15-35).

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2616

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.N

HUZNGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINED